Corres. and Mail

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

FOURTH FLOOR 1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA 22202 U.S.A.

(703) 413-3000

(703) 413-2220 FACSIMILE

OBLONPAT@OBLON.COM

WWW.OBLON.COM

PATENT, TRADEMARK AND COPYRIGHT LAW AND RELATED FEDERAL AND ITC UTIGATION

"RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.116-EXPEDITED PROCEDURE EXAMINING GROUP 2814



RECEIVE DRIAN D. ANDERSON STEPHEN G. BAXTER, PH.D.

GROUP 21

C. IRVIN McCLELLAND GREGORY J. MAIER ARTHUR I. NEUSTADT RICHARD D. KELLY JAMES D. HAMILTON ECKHARD H. KUESTERS ROBERT T. POUS DAVID J. KERA DAVID J. KERA CHARLES L. GHOLZ VINCENT J. SUNDERDICK* WILLIAM E. BEAUMONT STEVEN B. KELBER* ROBERT F. GNUSE JEAN-PAUL LAVALLEYE, PH.D. JEFFREY H. KAUFMAN OCT 2 8 1998
ROBERT W. HAHL, PH.D.
STEVEN P. WEBROUCH
JOHN T. GOODLASSAN
ANN T. GOODLASSAN
ANN T. GOODLASSAN
ANN T. GOOLLASSAN
ANN T. GOOL JAMES J. KULBASKI CATHERINE B. RICHARDSON*
RICHARD A. NEFELD, PH.D.
J. DEREK MASON, PH.D.
SURINDER SACHAR

MATHAN HUDIS

NORMAN F. OBLON

MARVIN J. SPIVAK

GERALD J. MOSSINGHOFF

AF/GAU 2814

METON STERMAN SAMUEL H. BLECH* JOHN O. TRESANSKY* ALTON D. ROLLINS JAMES R. BOLER*
HARRIS A. PITLICK*
RAYMOND F. CARDILLO, JR. WILLIAM T. FNOS

MASAYASU MORI*1

FRANK J. WEST* SHARON E. CRANE, PH.D.* BRADLEY D. LYTLE CHRISTINA M. GADIANO KARL D. KOVACH*
JEFFREY B. McINTYRE*
KATHLEEN COONEY-PORTER* ANDREW M. OLLIS MARGO LIVESAY, PH.D.* AMY L. HULINA MICHAEL A. GUILLANA MARY F. LOVE CORWIN P. UMBACH, PH.D. RON MYERS* FREDERICK D. VASTINE, PH.D.* PAUL E. RAUCH, PH.D.* MICHAEL R. CASEY, PH.D.* JOHN K. PIKE, PH.D.* GEORGE F. LESMES* JAMES J. KELLY, PH.D.°
CARLOS R. VILLAMAR°
DAVID A. BILODEAU° STAMATIOS MYLONAKIS, PH.D.* ROBERT C. MATTSON®

BAR MEMBERSHIP OTHER

THAN VIRGINIA LAPANESE PATENT ATTORNEY REGISTERED PATENT AGENT

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

> OUR REF: 0039-5461-2 **GROUP ART UNIT: 2814**

Re:

Inventor: Takanobu KAMAKURA

Serial No: 08/578,980

Filed:

DECEMBER 27, 1995

For:

SEMICONDUCTOR LIGHT EMITTING DEVICE

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.116

Our check in the amount of \$\\$ is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 CFR 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSZÁDT, P.Q

Gregory J. Maier

Registration No. 25,599

Attorneys of Record

Raymond F. Cardillo, Jr.

Registration No. 40,440

39-5461-2



ATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF:

TAKANOBU KAMAKURA

: EXAMINER: WILLE

SERIAL NO.: 08/578,980

FILED: DECEMBER 27, 1995

: GROUP ART UNIT: 2814

-- CEIVED

OCT 2 8 1998

GNUUP 2100

FOR: SEMICONDUCTOR LIGHT-

EMITTING DEVICE

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.116

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

SIR:

In response to the Official Action dated July 24, 1998, please note the following remarks traversing the outstanding grounds for rejection:

REMARKS

Favorable reconsideration of the present application in light of the following discussion is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-10 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as anticipated by Scifres et al (U.S. Patent No. 4,984,242, hereinafter Scifres), Claims 2 and 8 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being obvious over Scifres in view of Inoue et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,019,874, hereinafter Inoue). Claims 4 and 6 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Scifres in view of Sugawara et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,153,889, hereinafter Sugawara), Claims 5, 7, 9, and 10 were rejected under 35